International transfers in a context of research: challenges and opportunities

Dr Sandrine Munoz Data Protection Officer University of Luxembourg 30 January 2023



LUXEMBOURG

Data Transfers and other rules







- 1. A controller or processor subject to the GDPR for the given processing e.g. an EU University conducts a research project
- 2. This controller or processor (**exporter**) **discloses** by transmission or otherwise makes personal data available **to another controller**, joint-controller or processor (importer) *e.g. transmission of personal data to conduct the research project*
- **3.** The **importer** is located **in a third country** or is an **international organisation** *e.g. the University is located in Japan or USA*





 Whether the importer is not subject to the GDPR in respect of the given processing does not matter (European Data Protection Board interpretation)

Some non-EU partners do not understand the scope

- Disclosure of personal data where two different parties are involved e.g. no transfer in case of a controller's employee business trip outside EU if the employee is located in EU
- No disclosure where the data is disclosed directly by the data subject to the recipient as data subject is neither controller nor processor





Science and research have no borders

Collaboration with partners located outside the EU & EEA *e.g. sharing,*

generation of data between entities, etc.



Online apps, solutions, services, etc. (providers located outside the EU & EEA)

e.g. outsourcing to a data processor for genetic analysis, for conducting a survey, etc.

Regulatory framework for data transfers



nni li



- Invalidated U.S adequacy decisions (Safe Harbor, Privacy Shield)
- 13 December 2022: EC published draft adequacy decision for the EU-US Data Privacy Framework
 - Move towards a robust stakeholder consultation including from the EDPB, the Council and Parliament of EU
 - The adequacy process may take until six months (**expected July 2023**)
 - It will be challenged by the privacy associations (e.g. NOYB, EPIC)
- If the adequacy decision adopted is, once again, invalidated by the CJUE, organisations may lose faith in the feasiblity of successful EU-US data transfer framework
- SCC as a sole and permanent solution to legitimate transfers to the US



- Any personal data transferred from the EU to the US based on the certification scheme
- Certification scheme opens to companies not to research, academic institutions or Universities
- Other mechanisms must be continued to be used e.g. appropriate safeguards or derogations



Appropriate safeguards







- New Standard Contractual Clauses issued on 4 June 2021 are most commonly used in a research context as well
- BCRs do not fit to an academic context
 - Business context for transfers within group of entities where they are engaged in a joint economic activity
- Codes of conduct for research are missing (GDPR), some for research integrity

Appropriate safeguards in a context of research



- SCCs do not require DPA authorisation
- Text of SCCs cannot be changed
- In case of old SCC to be replaced the timeline for implementation is over: 27 December 2022!
- Supplementary measures may be needed to ensure a level of protection which is "essentially equivalent" to that of the EU (Schrems II)
 - Up to organisations to check on a case-by-case basis and prior to any transfer whether the SCCs can offer the level of protection required by the GDPR and where necessary to implement supplementary measures
- Data Transfer Impact Assessment (DTIA)

The DTIA



- EDPB recommendations 01/2020
 - 1. Identify and assess the relevant data transfers
 - 2. Identify the relevant data transfer mechanism
 - **3.** Assess the data protection laws and practice in the third country (laws of the data importer)
 - 4. Identify and adopt appropriate supplementary measures
 - 5. Procedural steps to implement the supplementary measures
 - 6. Periodically re-evaluate safeguards

What supplementary measures



- **1. Technical measures** e.g. encryption, pseudonymisation, technical measures implemented in a research context especially for sensitive data
- 2. Contractual requirements e.g. commitments to use specific technical measures, importer notifying the data exporter if the data importer cannot comply with its obligations, prohibition of onward transfers
- **3. Organisational measures** *e.g. internal policies, documenting data access requests*



- No guidance about technical measures where unencrypted processing of personal, partner in control of the keys
- Contractual requirements difficult to implement in practice with major companies where standard agreements are imposed, recommended to negotiate in a research context
- Organisational measures such as documenting data access requests to be developed and required



- Certification mechanisms must be studied to determine whether they fit to a research context
 - On May 2022, the CNPD adopted the first national level GDPR certification mechanism (GDPR-CARPA)
 - In June 2022, the EDPB adopted guidance on certification as a tool for transfers
 - On October 2022, the EDPB approved Europrivacy, the first European Data Protection Seal

The derogations



- To be used where no adequacy decision and no appropriate safeguards
- Strictly interpreted
- Not commonly used in research as:
 - Explicit consent is one derogation with restrictions
 - Necessary for the performance of a contract
 - Necessary for important reasons of public interest

Must be demonstrated

• Other derogations are only applicable in very specific context e.g. protect vital interests of a person

CONCLUSION



Challenges

- Complex legal instruments
- New requirements in relation with SCC (DTIA)
- Adequacy decisions not always applicable
- Derogations strictly interpreted

Opportunities

- Legal instruments to explain to partners
- Measures to negotiate with partners (SCC)
- Code of conduct for research not only focus on integrity
- Certification to be considered

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Questions? Remarks?